IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P.R.RAMAN
&
THE HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE K.HEMA

FRIDAY, THE 27TH JULY 2007 / 5TH SRAVANA 1929

WP(C).No. 15319 of 2007(W)

PETITIONER:

SHEEBA JOSEPH, AGED 39 YEARS,
W/O.A.V. JOSEPH, ADIPUZHA MARANKULATH HOUSE,
CHUNKAPPARA P.O., KOTTANGAL VILLAGE,
MALLAPPALLY TALUK.

BY ADV. SRI.P.VIJAYA BHANU
SRI.M.GEORGE THOMAS

RESPONDENTS:
---

1. THE DIRECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

2. THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE,
PATHANAMTHITTA DISTRICT.

3. MR.PHILIPOSE ABRAHAM,
THE DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE
(LAW & ORDER), THIRUVALLA.

4. KURUVILA GEORGE, S/O.VARKEY,
ADIPUZHAYAYA MARANKULATH HOUSE,
CHUNKAPPARA P.O., KOTTANGAL VILLAGE,
MALLAPPALLY TALUK.

5. THE SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
PERUMPATTY POLICE STATION.

BY ADV. SRI.K.PRAVEEN KUMAR
SRI.M.AJAY
GOVERNMENT PLEADER (SRI.P.A.SALIM)

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 27/07/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

P.R. RAMAN & K. HEMA, JJ.

W.P.(C).No.15319 of 2007 W

Dated this the 27th day of July, 2007.

JUDGMENT

Raman, J.

This is a writ petition alleging police harassment. Petitioner is a house wife. She is the wife of the younger brother of 4th respondent. The allegation is that petitioner is being harassed physically and mentally by the 4th respondent and, inspite of complaints made to higher authorities, no action is taken by them. It is her case that, to wreak vengeance (because of the complaint made by her), harassment is meted out to her at the instance of 3rd respondent, the Deputy Superintendent of Police, who is a close friend of 4th respondent. There is no allegation as such against the 3rd respondent that any harassment is meted out to the petitioner directly by him. But, according to the petitioner, because of the relationship of 3rd respondent with the 4th respondent, police is harassing the petitioner.

2. The 3rd respondent entered appearance through counsel and denied the allegation. However, it is not necessary to go into merits of the contentions raised since 3rd respondent has already been transferred on a routine manner and in the place of the 3rd respondent, the new incumbent has filed a statement dated 11.7.2007. It is stated that, according to the statement, there are family disputes between the parties and various crimes are registered against them. There are civil suits pending and all the

parties are residing in the same house. It is further submitted that there will not be any harassment to the petitioner in any manner and that they will be proceeding in accordance with law. Recording this submission, this writ petition is closed.

P.R.RAMAN, JUDGE.

K. HEMA, JUDGE.

Krs.